

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,965	03/11/2004	Jia-Wei Yang	0941-0932P	3997
2292 7	590 06/23/2006		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2813	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/796,965	YANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Laura M. Schillinger	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iiil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-19 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	from consideration.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/04 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 9-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1/13/06.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 1/13/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims are never species and claim 9 is generic to elected claim 1. This is not found persuasive because the claims are separate species and claim 9 is not generic because both claims 1 and 9 have mutually exclusive characteristics, that is claim 1 requires a silicon nitride about 500A thick and in contrast claim 9 requires a patterned oxidation mask at least 500 A thick.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chu et al ('168).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,965

Art Unit: 2813

Chu teaches the following claimed limitations as cited below:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of reducing step height comprising: providing a substrate comprising:

a low-voltage device area (L) and high-voltage device area (H) divided by an isolation structure (12) and a pad oxide layer (14) on the surface of the low-voltage device area (L) and high-voltage device area (H) (Fig.2);

sequentially forming a silicon nitride layer of at least about 500A thick (20) and a patterned mask layer (25), exposing the silicon nitride layer on the high-voltage device area (20-H)and parts of the isolation structure adjacent thereto, overlying the substrate (10) (Fig.4-5);

anisotropically etching the exposed silicon nitride layer (20) using the mask layer as an etch mask (25), exposing the hip-voltage device area (H) and parts of the isolation structure (12) (Fig. 5);

sequentially removing the patterned mask layer and pad oxide from the surface of the high-voltage device area (Col.3, lines: 30-35);

forming a first oxide layer on the exposed high-voltage device area and isolation structure using the silicon nitride layer as an oxidation mask (Col.3, lines: 30-35);

sequentially removing the remaining silicon nitride layer and pad oxide layer from the surface of the low-voltage device area (Col.3, lines: 40-50 and forming a second oxide layer thinner than the first oxide layer, on the low-voltage device layer Col.3, lines: 50-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,965

Art Unit: 2813

2. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the isolation structure comprises a STI or

Page 4

FOX (STI- Col.3, lines: 1-5).

3. (Original) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first oxide is formed by

thermal oxidation (Col.3, lines: 1-5).

4. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first oxide layer thickens gradually to a

predetermined value and approximately maintains the thickness in areas further from the low-

voltage device structure (Col.3, lines: 15-25).

5 (Original) The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first oxide layer is about

1000 to 2000A thick.

6. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the second oxide layer is formed by

thermal oxidation (Col.3, lines: 1-5- inherent).

7. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the second oxide layer is about 32 to 125 A

thick (Col.3, lines: 10-25)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/796,965

Art Unit: 2813

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu et al ('168).

In reference to claim 5, Chu teaches method as claimed in claim 1, however fails to explicitly teach wherein the first oxide layer is about 1000 to 2000A thick. However this claim is prima facie obvious without showing that the claimed ranges achieve unexpected results relative to the prior art range. In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1935, 1937 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See also In re Huang, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1688(Fed. Cir. 1996)(claimed ranges of a result effective variable, which do not overlap the prior art ranges, are unpatentable unless they produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art). See also In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA) (discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art) and In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) (selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu et al ('168) in further view of MacRae et al ('492).

In reference to claim 8, Chu teaches the method of claim 1, however fails to specify wherein the silicon nitride layer on the low-voltage device area is removed by hot phosphoric acid. However, MacRae teaches the well known use of hot phosphoric acid to etch silicon

Art Unit: 2813

nitride layers (Col.2, lines: 15-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use hot phosphoric acid to remove the silicon nitride layer as taught by MacRae because such use is considered conventional as taught by MacRae (Col.2, lines: 15-25).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laceca Neffeley

Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813

06/20/06